Application No. Applicant(s) SOLOMON BEKA 09/441 140 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner Kimberly Ballard 1640

(3)Robert Way

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

.,	(- <u>)</u>
(2) Elizabeth Kemmerer.	(4)Roger Browdy and Harris Pitlic

Date of Interview: 08 October 2008

(1) Gregory Errich

Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal (copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's representative
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____ Claim(s) discussed: 177 and 210-214.

Identification of prior art discussed: Anderson, Gaskin and Becker references.

Agreement with respect to the claims fill was reached. gill was not reached. hill N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Participants discussed outstanding rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for new matter, under 35 U.S.C. 102 and under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kimberly A. Ballard/